QUASI-SELF-ADJOINT MAXIMAL ACCRETIVE EXTENSIONS OF NONNEGATIVE SYMMETRIC OPERATORS

Yury Arlinskii^{*}, Yury Kovalev^{*}, Eduard Tsekanovskii^{**}

*Department of Mathematical Analysis, East Ukrainian National University, Lugansk, Ukraine **Department of Mathematics, Niagara University, USA

Summary. We give a new parametrization of all quasi-self-adjoint maximal accretive extensions and maximal sectorial extensions (with vertex at z = 0 and the acute semi-angle α) for a densely defined closed nonnegative symmetric operator. An application to point interactions model on the real line is considered.

Key words: nonnegative symmetric operators, quasi-self-adjoint, accretive, sectorial extensions, transversal operators, point interactions.

INTRODUCTION

Let H be a separable Hilbert space with the inner product (\cdot, \cdot) . A linear operator T in H is called accretive if $\operatorname{Re}(Tf, f) \ge 0$ for all $f \in D(T)$. An accretive operator T is called *maximal* accretive (m-accretive) if one of the equivalent conditions is satisfied [14]:

- the operator T has no accretive extensions in H;
- the resolvent set $\rho(T)$ is nonempty;
- the operator T is densely defined and closed, and T^* is accretive operator.

The resolvent set $\rho(T)$ of m-accretive operator contains the open left half-plane and

$$\left\| \left(T - zI_{\mathsf{H}} \right)^{-1} \right\| \le \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Re} z|}, \operatorname{Re} z < 0.$$

It is well known [14] that if T is m-accretive operator, then the one-parameter semi-group:

$$T(t) = \exp(-tT), t \ge 0$$

is contractive. Conversely, if the family $\{T(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a strongly continuous semi-group of bounded operators in a Hilbert space H, with $T(0) = I_{H}$ (C_{0} -semi-group) and T(t)is a contraction for each t, then the generator T of T(t):

$$Tu := \lim_{t \to +0} \frac{(I_{H} - T(t))u}{t}, \ u \in D(T),$$

is an m-accretive operator in H.

Let $\alpha \in [0; \pi/2)$ and let:

$$\mathcal{S}(\alpha) := \{z \in \mathbf{C}: | \arg z | \le \alpha\},\$$

be a sector on the complex plane C with the vertex at the origin and the semi-angle α .

A linear operator T in a H is called sectorial with vertex at z = 0 and the semiangle α [14] if its numerical range:

$$W(T) = \{(Tu, u) \in \mathbb{C}: u \in D(T), ||u||=1\},\$$

is contained in $S(\alpha)$. If T is m-accretive and sectorial with vertex at z = 0 and the semi-angle α , then it is called m-sectorial with vertex at the origin and semi-angle α . We call shortly these operators m- α -sectorial. The resolvent set of m- α -sectorial operator T contains the set $\mathbb{C}\backslash S(\alpha)$ and:

$$\left\| \left(T - zI_{\mathsf{H}} \right)^{-1} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{\operatorname{dist} \left(z, \mathcal{S} \left(\alpha \right) \right)}, \ z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \mathcal{S} \left(\alpha \right).$$

It is well-known [14] that a C_0 -semi-group $T(t) = \exp(-tT)$, $t \ge 0$ has *contractive* and *holomorphic* continuation into the sector $S(\pi/2-\alpha)$ if and only if the generator T is m- α -sectorial operator.

Let S be a linear, closed, densely defined non-negative symmetric operator on H, i.e. $(Sf, f) \ge 0$ for all $f \in D(S)$ and S^* be its adjoint. A linear operator T possessing property:

$$\subset T \subset S^*$$
 (1)

is called quasi-self-adjoint (proper, intermediate) extension ns of S. In particular, all self-adjoint extensions ($T = T^*$) satisfy (1).

S

We are interested in a description of all quasi-self-adjoint m-accretive and msectorial extensions of S. This problem is a part of more general Phillips problem [19] of a parametrization of all m-accretive extensions of a given densely defined accretive operator. It was established by Phillips that any closed densely defined accretive operator has an m-accretive extension. In order to obtain a description of all m-accretive extension Phillips proposed to use the approach connected with geometry of spaces with indefinite inner product. His approach has been used in [12] for ordinary differential operators and in [18] for an abstract positive definite symmetric operator with finite defect numbers. The fractional-linear transformation reduces the Phillips problem to the dual problem of a parametrization of all contractive extensions of a given non-densely defined contraction. Such parametrization has been obtained in [9]. The literature on contractive extensions of a given contraction is too extensive and we refer in this matter on [7] and references therein. A special case is an existence and description of all nonnegative self-adjoint extensions. This case has been considered by J. von Neumann, K. Friedrichs, M. Krein and later by M. Birman in their well known papers [15], [8]. Applications to partial differential operators in terms of boundary conditions and other approaches are discussed in [13]. Recently in [6] a new approach has been proposed.

The problem of existence and description of quasi-self-adjoint m-accretive extensions of a nonnegative symmetric operator via fractional-linear transformation has been solved in [5] and via abstract boundary conditions in [17], [16], [3], [10], [11]. We note that the reader can find references related to the described problem in the survey [6]. Here we announce new results based on the method developed in [6].

Following the Krein's notations [15] we denote by $S[\cdot, \cdot]$ the closure of the form (Su, v) and by D[S] its domain for non-negative symmetric operator S. The same notations we preserve for the case of a nonnegative linear relation. As it is well known [14], [15] the Friedrichs non-negative self-adjoint extension S_F of S is defined as a non-negative self-adjoint extension associated with the form $S[\cdot, \cdot]$. Clearly, $D(S_F) = D[S] \cap D(S^*)$, $S_F = S^* | D(S_F) |$. We would like to mention basic results of the theory of nonnegative self-adjoint extensions that have been established by M. Krein [15]. He showed that a non-negative symmetric operator S admits, so called, minimal non-negative self-adjoint extension. This extension we call the Krein-von Neumann extension S_K . The operator S_K can be defined as follows: $S_K = ((S^{-1})_F)^{-1}$, where S^{-1} denotes in this context the inverse nonnegative linear relation to the graph S. Thus, for every non-negative self-adjoint extension S of S the inequality holds in sense of the associated quadratic forms: $S_K \leq S \leq S_F$. It was shown in [2] that the relations below take place:

$$D[S_{\kappa}] = \left\{ u \in \mathsf{H}: \sup_{f \in D(S)} \frac{|(u, Sf)|^{2}}{(Sf, f)} < \infty \right\}, \sup_{f \in D(S)} \frac{|(u, Sf)|^{2}}{(Sf, f)} = S_{\kappa}[u], \ u \in D[S_{\kappa}].$$

In addition $D[S] = D[S] + N_z \cap D[S]$, where $N_z = \text{Ker}(S^* - zI)$ are the defect subspaces of S.

MAIN RESULTS

Consider the domain $D(S^*)$ of an operator S^* as a Hilbert space H_+ with the inner product $(f,g)_+ = (f,g) + (S^*f, S^*g)$. The (+)-orthogonal decomposition holds $H_+ = D(S) \oplus \mathbb{N}_i \oplus \mathbb{N}_{-i}$. Let \mathbb{N}_F be (+)-orthogonal complement of D(S) in $D(S_F)$ and let \mathbb{M}_F be be (+)-orthogonal complement of $D(S_F)$ in H_+ . So, we have $H_+ = D(S) \oplus \mathbb{N}_F \oplus \mathbb{M}_F$. It is easy to see that $\mathbb{N}_F = (S_F + iI)^{-1} \mathbb{N}_i = (S_F - iI)^{-1} \mathbb{N}_{-i}$ and $N_F = (I + V_F)N_i$, $M_F = (I - V_F)N_i$, where V_F is an isometry from N_i onto N_{-i} which determines a self-adjoint extension S_F by the von Neumann formula $D(S_F) = D(S) + (I + V_F)N_i$. The following relations hold: $N_F = \{f \in D(S_F) : S_F f \in H_+, S^*S_F f = -f\},$

$$\mathbf{M}_{F} = \left\{ f \in D(S^{*}) : S^{*} f \in D(S_{F}), S_{F}S^{*} f = -f \right\}, \ \mathbf{M}_{F} = S_{F}\mathbf{N}_{F}, \ \mathbf{N}_{F} = S^{*}\mathbf{M}_{F}$$

Recall that two self-adjoint extensions S_1 and S_2 of a symmetric operator S are disjoint (relatively prime) if $D(S_1) \cap D(S_2) = D(S)$ and transversal if $D(S_1) + D(S_2) = D(S^*)$. The necessary and sufficient condition of transversality of the Friedrichs and Krein - von Neumann extensions is the following: $D(S^*) \subset D[S_K]$. This condition is equivalent to $N_z \subset R(S_F^{1/2})$ for some (and then for all) $z \in \rho(S_F)$. It follows from the M.G. Krein result [15] that the operator S has unique non-negative self-adjoint extension iff $R(S_F^{1/2}) \cap N_F = \{0\}$. Suppose that

$$\mathsf{N}_0 = R\left(S_F^{1/2}\right) \cap \mathsf{N}_F \neq \{0\},\tag{2}$$

and define a non-negative sesquilinear form

$$\omega_{0}[e,g] = \left(S_{F}^{1/2}e, S_{F}^{1/2}g\right) + \left(\widehat{S}_{F}^{-1/2}e, \widehat{S}_{F}^{-1/2}g\right) = \left(\widehat{S}_{F}^{-1/2}e, \widehat{S}_{F}^{-1/2}g\right)_{+}, \ e,g \in \mathbb{N}_{0}.$$
(3)

The form ω_0 is closed in the Hilbert space H_+ and $\omega_0[e] \ge 2 ||e||^2$ for all $e \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Let \mathbb{W}_0 be a (+)-nonnegative self-adjoint linear relation in \mathbb{N}_F associated with ω_0 given by (3). In view of $\omega_0[f] > 0$ for all $f \ne 0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the inverse l.r. \mathbb{W}_0^{-1} is densely defined in \mathbb{N}_F and therefore is the graph of a (+)-self-adjoint nonnegative operator. We denote this operator by W_0^{-1} . The next theorem gives a description of all non-negative self-adjoint extensions of *S* and their associated closed forms in terms of W_0^{-1} and some auxiliary operators in \mathbb{N}_F .

Theorem 1. [6] Let condition (2) be fulfilled. Then the formulas

$$D(S) = D(S) \oplus (I + S_F U) D(U),$$

$$S(f_0 + e + S_F Ue) = S_F (f_0 + e) - Ue, f_0 \in D(S), e \in D(U),$$

$$D[S] = D[S] + S_F R(U^{1/2}),$$

$$S[f + S_F h] = ||S_F^{1/2} f - \hat{S}_F^{-1/2} h||^2 + U^{-1}[h] - \omega_0[h], f \in D(S), h \in R(U^{1/2}),$$

give a one-to-one correspondence between all nonnegative self-adjoint extensions S of S, their associated closed forms and all (+)-self-adjoint operators U in N_F

satisfying the condition $0 \le U \le W_0^{-1}$. An extension *S* coincides with S_K iff $U = W_0^{-1}$. The extensions S_F and S_K are disjoint if and only if N_0 is a dense in N_F and transversal if and only if $N_0 = N_F$. Here $\hat{S}_F^{-1/2} := \left(S_F^{1/2} \middle| \overline{R(S_F^{1/2})}\right)^{-1}$ stands for Moore-Penrose pseudo-inverse.

The theorem below plays an essential role in the investigation of quasi-selfadjoint m-accretive extensions.

Theorem 2. [4]. Let S be a nonnegative symmetric operator and let S be a maximal accretive extension of S. The following conditions are equivalent:

1)
$$S \subset S^*$$
,
2) $D(S) \subset D[S_K]$ and $\operatorname{Re}(Sf, f) \ge S_K[f]$ for all $f \in D(S)$,
3) $|(Sg, f)|^2 \le (Sg, g) \operatorname{Re}(Sf, f)$ for all $f \in D(S)$, $g \in D(S)$.

The extension *S* is quasi-self-adjoint and $m \cdot \alpha$ - sectrorial if and only if $D(S) \subset D(S_K^{1/2})$ and the sesquilinear form $(Sf,h) - (S_K^{1/2},S_K^{1/2}h)$, $f,h \in D(S)$ is sectorial with the same semi-angle α and the vertex at the origin.

Next theorem gives the parametrization of all quasi-self-adjoint maximal accretive and maximal sectorial extensions of S.

Theorem 3. The formulas

$$D(S) = D(S) \oplus (I + S_F U) D(U),$$

$$S(\varphi + h + S_F Uh) = S_F (\varphi + h) - Uh, \ \varphi \in D(S), \ h \in D(U)$$
(4)

give a one-to-one correspondence between all quasi-self-adjoint and maximal accretive extensions S of S and all (+)-maximal accretive operators U in N_F satisfying the condition

$$R(U) \subset \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ and } \operatorname{Re}\left(\left(UP_U\right)^{-1}e, e\right)_+ \ge \omega_0[e] \text{ for all } e \in R(U),$$
 (5)

where: P_{U} is the (+)-orthogonal projection in N_{F} onto R(U).

The extension S given by (4) is m- α -sectorial if and only if:

U in N_F is (+)-maximal accretive, $R(U) \subset N_0$, the form

$$\left(\left(UP_{U}\right)^{-1}e,h\right)_{+}-\omega_{0}\left[e,h\right],\ e,h\in D\left(U\right)$$
(6)

is sectorial with the semi-angle α and the vertex at the origin.

The extension S given by (4) is relatively prime with S_F if and only if the operator U is invertible and transversal to S_F iff U^{-1} is bounded.

Proposition 1. Suppose that the operator W_0^{-1} is (+)-bounded in N_F . Then

1) the formula:

$$U = \frac{1}{2}W_0^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}W_0^{-1/2}ZW_0^{-1/2};$$
⁽⁷⁾

gives one-to-one correspondence between (+)-maximal accretive operators U in N_F , satisfying condition (5) and (+)-contractive operators Z in $\overline{N_0}$,

2) the formula (7) gives one-to-one correspondence between operators Uin N_F , satisfying condition (6) and operators Z in $\overline{N_0}$, such that $|| Z \sin \alpha \pm i \cos \alpha I || \le 1$.

Let M_0 be the linear fractional transformation of W_0^{-1} :

$$M_{0} = \left(W_{0}^{-1} - I\right)\left(W_{0}^{-1} + I\right)^{-1}.$$
(8)

Then M_0 is a (+)-contraction in N_F .

Theorem 4. There is the one-to-one correspondence between quasi-self-adjoint maximal accretive extensions *S* of a nonnegative symmetric operator *S* and (+)-contractions *Y* in $\overline{N_0}$. This correspondence is given by the formulas:

$$D(S) = D(S) \oplus (I + S_F U) D(U),$$

$$U = (I - U) (I + U)^{-1},$$

$$U = I - \frac{1}{2} (I + M_0)^{1/2} (I + Y) (I + M_0)^{1/2}$$

where; M_0 is given by (8). The extension S is quasi-self-adjoint and $m \cdot \alpha$ -sectorial if and only if the operator Y satisfies the condition $|| Y \sin \alpha \pm i \cos \alpha I || \le 1$.

1-D SHRODINGER OPERATOR WITH δ' INTERACTIONS

Next we give applications of the above mentioned results to point-interaction in **R** [1]. Let $y_1, y_2, ..., y_m \in \mathbf{R}$. Consider operator *S* defined as follows:

$$D(S) = \{ \varphi(x) \in H_2^2(\mathbf{R}) : \varphi'(y_j) = 0, \ j = 1, ..., m \}, \ S\varphi = -\frac{d^2\varphi}{dx^2}, \qquad (9)$$

where: $H_2^2(\mathbf{R})$ is the Sobolev space. As it is well known [1] the operator S is symmetric and non-negative in $L^2(\mathbf{R}, dx)$ with defect numbers $\langle m, m \rangle$ and its Friedrichs extension S_F is given by:

$$D(S_F) = H_2^2(\mathbf{R}), \ S_F = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}.$$

Let
$$F f = f(p) = s - \lim_{R \to \infty} (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{|x| \le R} f(x) \exp(-ixp) dx$$
 be the Fourier

transform. In the p-representation we obtain the nonnegative symmetric operator A and its Friedrichs extension A_F :

$$D(A) = \left\{ h(p) \in L^{2}(\mathbf{R}, dp), \int_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{h}(p) p \exp(ipy_{j}) dp = 0, \ j = 1, ..., m \right\},$$

$$D(A_{F}) = H_{2}(\mathbf{R}) \coloneqq L^{2}(\mathbf{R}, (p^{4} + 1) dp),$$

$$Ah = p^{2}h(p), \ h(p) \in D(A), A_{F}f = p^{2}f(p), \ f(p) \in D(A_{F}).$$

Let $e_j(p) = p \exp(-ipy_j)(1+p^4)^{-1}, \ j = 1,...,m$. Clearly,

$$\mathsf{N}_{F} = span\{e_{1}(p),...,e_{m}(p)\}, \ \mathsf{M}_{F} = A\mathsf{N}_{F} = span\{p^{2}e_{1}(p),...,p^{2}e_{m}(p)\}.$$

The adjoint operator A^* is given by the following relations: $D(A^*) = D(A) \pm N \pm M - H(\mathbf{P}) \pm M$

$$D(A) = D(A) + N_F + M_F = H_2(\mathbf{R}) + M_F,$$

$$A^* \left(f(p) + \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j p^2 e_j(p) \right) = p^2 f(p) - \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j e_j(p), \ f(p) \in H_2(\mathbf{R}).$$

Let $H_+ = D(A^*).$ Since $D(A_F^{1/2}) = H_1(\mathbf{R}) := L^2(\mathbf{R}, (p^2 + 1)dp)$

 $A_F^{1/2} f = pf(p)$, we obtain that $A_F^{-1/2} e_j(p) \in H_1(\mathbf{R})$, j = 1,...,m. It follows that $N_0 = N_F$ and A_F is transversal to A_K . By the direct calculation we get the following equalities:

$$g_{kj} = \left(e_{k}(p), e_{j}(p)\right)_{+} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|y_{k} - y_{j}|}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \left(\cos\frac{|y_{k} - y_{j}|}{\sqrt{2}} - \sin\frac{|y_{k} - y_{j}|}{\sqrt{2}}\right),$$

$$\omega_{kj} = \left(A_{F}^{1/2}e_{k}(p), A_{F}^{1/2}e_{j}(p)\right) + \left(A_{F}^{-1/2}e_{k}(p), A_{F}^{-1/2}e_{j}(p)\right) =$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|y_{k} - y_{j}|}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \left(\cos\frac{|y_{k} - y_{j}|}{\sqrt{2}} + \sin\frac{|y_{k} - y_{j}|}{\sqrt{2}}\right).$$

Let $W_0 = || \omega_{kj} ||_{k,j=1}^m$, $G = || g_{kj} ||_{k,j=1}^m$. Providing calculation with the inverse Fourier transform F^{-1} we obtain

$$F^{-1}e_{j}(p) = g_{j}(x) = i\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\exp\left(-\frac{|x-y_{j}|}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\sin\frac{|x-y_{j}|}{\sqrt{2}},$$

$$F^{-1}A_{F}e_{j}(p) = h_{j}(x) = i\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\exp\left(-\frac{|x-y_{j}|}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\cos\frac{|x-y_{j}|}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

We have $S = F^{-1}AF$, $S_F = F^{-1}A_FF$, $S_K = F^{-1}A_KF$. From Theorem 1 we get the following description of all m-accretive extensions of S.

Theorem 5. If the operator S is given by (9), then the formulas:

$$D(S) = \left\{ f_0(x) + \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j g_j(x) + \sum_{k,j=1}^m u_{kj} \lambda_k h_j(x) \right\},\$$

$$f_0(x) \in D(S), \ (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_m) \in \mathbf{C}^m,\$$

$$S\left(f_0(x) + \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j g_j(x) + \sum_{k,j=1}^m u_{kj} \lambda_k h_j(x) \right) = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} f_0(x) + \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j h_j(x) - \sum_{k,j=1}^m u_{kj} \lambda_k g_j(x),\$$

establish a one-to-one correspondence between the set of all $m \times m$ matrices $U = ||u_{k_i}||_{k_i=1}^m$ satisfying the condition:

$$UG + GU^* \geq 2UW_0U^*$$

and the set of all m-accretive extensions of S. The operator S is m- α -sectorial if and only if:

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{tg} \alpha \cdot (UG + GU^{*}) + i (UG - GU^{*}) \geq 2 \operatorname{tg} \alpha \cdot UW_{0}U^{*}, \\ \operatorname{tg} \alpha \cdot (UG + GU^{*}) - i (UG - GU^{*}) \geq 2 \operatorname{tg} \alpha \cdot UW_{0}U^{*}. \end{cases}$$

In particular, if m = 1 then

$$D(S) = \left\{ f_0(x) + \lambda \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \left(\sin\frac{|x-y|}{\sqrt{2}} + u\cos\frac{|x-y|}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \right\},\$$

$$f_0(x) \in D(S), \ \lambda, u \in \mathbf{C}, \ y \in \mathbf{R},$$

$$S\left(f_0(x) + \lambda \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \left(\sin\frac{|x-y|}{\sqrt{2}} + u\cos\frac{|x-y|}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \right) =$$

$$= -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} f_0(x) + \lambda \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \left(\cos\frac{|x-y|}{\sqrt{2}} - u\sin\frac{|x-y|}{\sqrt{2}}\right),$$

where: *u* satisfies the conditions: 1) $\left(\operatorname{Re} u - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\operatorname{Im} u\right)^2 \le \frac{1}{4}$ for m-accretive

extensions; 2) $\left(\operatorname{Re} u - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\operatorname{Im} u \pm \frac{\operatorname{ctg} \alpha}{2}\right)^2 \le \frac{1}{4\sin^2 \alpha}$ for m - α -sectorial extensions.

REFERENCES

- 1. Albeverio S., Gesztesy F., Hoegh-Krohn R., Holden H, 1988: Solvable models in quantum mechanics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Ando T., Nishio K., 1970: Positive self-adjoint extensions of positive symmetric operators. Tohoku Math. J. - 22. - P. 65--75.
- 3. Arlinskii Yu. M., 1988: Positive spaces of boundary values and sectorial extensions of nonnegative symmetric operators, Ukrain. Math. Zh, 40, no.1, 8-14 (Russian).
- 4. Arlinskii Yu., 1995: On proper accretive extensions of positive linear relations. Ukrain. Mat. Zh. 47, no.6, 723--730.

- 5. Arlinskii Yu., Tsekanovskii E., 1982: Nonselfadjoint contracting extensions of a Hermitian contraction and the theorems of M. G. Kreĭn. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **37**, no. 1, 131–132 (Russian).
- 6. Arlinskii Yu., Tsekanovskii E., 2005: The von Neumann problem for nonnegative symmetric operators. Int. Eq. and Oper. Theory, 51, 319--356.
- Arlinskii Yu., Tsekanovskii E., 2009: Krein's research on semi-bounded operators, its contemporary developments, and applications. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 190, 65--112.
- Birman M.Sh., 1956: On the theory of self-adjoint extensions of positive definite operators. Mat. Sbornik 38, 431-450 (Russian).
- 9. Crandall M., 1969: Norm preserving extensions of linear transformations on Hilbert spaces. Proc. Amer.Math.Soc. **21**, 335–340.
- 10. Derkach V.A., Malamud M.M, Tsekanovskii E.R., 1989: Sectorial extensions of a positive operator, and the characteristic function. Ukrain.Math Zh. 41, no.2, 151-158 (Russian)
- 11. Derkach V.A., Malamud M.M., 1995: The extension theory of Hermitian operators and the moment problem. J. Math. Sci. 73, no.2, 141--242.
- 12. Evans, W.D, Knowles, I., 1985: On the extensions problem for accretive differential operators, J. Funct. Anal. 63, No. 3, 276 298.
- 13. Grubb G., 1968: A characterization of the non-local boundary value problems associated with an elliptic operator. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3), 22, 425--513.
- 14. Kato T., 1966: Perturbation theory for linear operators. Springer-Verlag.
- 15. Krein M.G., 1947: The theory of self-adjoint extensions of semi-bounded Hermitian transformations and its applications. I, Mat .Sbornik 20, No. 3, 431—495, II, Mat .Sbornik 21, No.3, 365--404 (Russian).
- 16. Kochubei A.N., 1979: Extensions of a positive definite symmetric operator. Dokl. Akad. Nauk Ukrain. SSR, Ser. A, no. 3, 168-171 (Russian)
- 17. Mikhailets V.A., 1974: Solvable and sectorial boundary value problems for the operator Sturm-Liouville equation. Ukrinian Math Zh., 26, 450-459 (Russian).
- 18. Mil'yo O.Ya., Storoh O.G., 1991:On the general form of a maximally accretive extension of a positive-definite operator.Dokl Akad. Nauk Ukraine, no.6, 19-22 (Russian).
- 19. Phillips R, 1959: Dissipative operators and hyperbolic systems of partial differential equations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 90, 192--254.

КВАЗИСАМОСОПРЯЖЕННЫЕ МАКСИМАЛЬНЫЕ АККРЕТИВНЫЕ РАСШИРЕНИЯ НЕОТРИЦАТЕЛЬНЫХ СИММЕТРИЧЕСКИХ ОПЕРАТОРОВ

Юрий Арлинский, Юрий Ковалев, Эдуард Цекановский

Аннотация. Мы даем новую параметризацию всех квазисамосопряженных максимальных аккретивных расширений и максимальных секториальных расширений (с центром в начале координат и острым полууглом α) для плотно определенного замкнутого неотрицательного симметрического оператора. Рассматриваем применение к точечным взаимодействиям на вещественной прямой.

Ключевые слова. Неотрицательный симметрический оператор, квазисамосопряженный, аккретивный, секториальный, расширение, трансверсальные операторы, точечные взаимодействия.