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Summary. An optimal algorithm for the relaxation spectrum identification using discrete-time noise corrupted measurements of relaxation modulus obtained in stress-relaxation test is proposed in the first part of the paper. The scheme is based on approximation of the spectrum of relaxation frequencies by the finite series of orthogonal Chebyshev functions optimal in the least-squares sense. Since the problem of relaxation spectrum identification is ill-posed inverse problem Tikhonov regularization with generalized cross-validation (GCV) is used to guarantee the stability of the scheme. In this part of the paper an analysis of the model accuracy is conducted for noise measurements and the linear convergence of the approximations generated by the scheme is proved. It is also indicated that the accuracy of the spectrum approximation depends both on measurement noise and regularization parameter as well as on the proper selection of the time-scale parameter of the basic functions. A modification of the scheme for identification of retardation spectrum is also derived here. A numerical studies are the subject of the third part of the paper, where an example of the relaxation spectrum of a sample of the beet sugar root determination by applying the scheme proposed is also presented.
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INTRODUCTION

In the rheology it is commonly assumed that the relaxation modulus $G(t)$ has the following integral representation (Andersen and Devi, 2001, Christensen 1971):

$$G(t) = \int_0^\infty H(v) e^{-t\nu} d\nu,$$

where $H(\nu)$ is the spectrum of relaxation frequencies $\nu \geq 0$. Since, as it is well-known, for plant materials usually the long-term modulus $G_\infty \equiv G(t) = G_\infty > 0$ (see Jakobczyk & Lewicki, 2003), as well as the Example 3 in the third part of the paper), instead of the classical equation (1), it is convenient to consider the following “more-realistic” augmented material description:

$$G(t) = \int_0^\infty H(v) e^{-t\nu + G_\infty} G(t) + G_\infty. $$
In the first part of the paper an algorithm of the optimal least-squares approximation of the spectrum $H_i(\nu)$ by the finite series of (normalized) Chebyshev functions $\tilde{h}_k(\nu)$ (see (1.3)–(1.7), notation (1.3) is used for the eq. (3) in the first part of the paper):

$$H_i(\nu) = \sum_{k=0}^{K_i} g_k \tilde{h}_k(\nu),$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where: $g_k$ are constants, as proposed. The respective model $G_i(t)$ (1.15) of the relaxation modulus $G(t)$ is described by:

$$G_i(t) = G_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{K_i} g_k \phi_k(t) + G_\infty,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where: the form of the functions $\phi_k(t)$ are given by Theorem 1 in the first part of the paper. A regularized scheme for computing the vector $g = [g_0, \ldots, g_{K_i}]^T$ of optimal model parameters is presented in details in the first part of the paper. The scheme can be successfully applied to retardation spectrum identification, it is the subject of the subsequent section.

RETARDATION SPECTRUM IDENTIFICATION

An alternative to Boltzmann constitutive integral (1.1) linear viscoelastic constitutive equation expresses the strain $\varepsilon(t)$ in terms of the history of the time derivative of the stress $\sigma(t)$ [Christensen 1971, Flugge 1967], i.e. the equation of convolution type:

$$\varepsilon(t) = \int_0^t J(t - \tau) \sigma(\tau) d\tau,$$

where: $J(t)$, $t > 0$, is the creep compliance (retardation function). For many viscoelastic materials, especially for soft biological materials as fruits and vegetables, the retardation function $J(t)$ is described by Kelvin (Kelvin-Voight) model of the form [Kaczmarska-Balaban 1994, Rao 1999]:

$$J(t) = J_0 + \int_0^t J_0 \left(1 - e^{-\nu t}\right) d\nu,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where: $J_0$ denote the time-independent (elastic) compliance and $L(\nu)$ is the spectrum of retardation frequencies, which characterizes the distribution of retardation frequencies $\nu$ in the range $[\nu, \nu + d\nu]$ [Christensen 1971, Baumberger and Winter 1989, Szynkiewicz 2005].

Retardation spectrum $L(\nu)$, like relaxation spectrum, is not directly accessible by experiment and thus must be determined from other material functions [Baumberger and Winter 1989, Elster et al. 1991, Bažant and Xi 1995, Evans and Techoelj 1995, Pfeiffer and Gomolak 2004]. The problem of spectrum $L(\nu)$ identification is the numerical problem of reconstructing solution of Fredholm integral equation of the first kind (5) with the kernel $(1 - e^{-\nu t})$ from discrete time-measured data [Engl 1993]. The practical difficulty in the identification of retardation spectrum, like for relaxation spectrum determination, is rooted in a theoretical mathematical problem difficulty, because it is ill-posed inverse problem [Grosch 1993]. In this paper an optimal identification scheme of the least-squares approximation of the spectrum $L(\nu)$ by the linear combination of Chebyshev functions is proposed.

Assume that $L(\nu) \in L^2([0, \infty))$. The approximation of the retardation spectrum $L(\nu)$ by finite linear combination of the normalized basic Chebyshev functions $\tilde{h}_k(\nu)$ (1.7) is considered:

$$L_\nu(\nu) = \sum_{k=0}^{K} g_k \left(1 - \frac{2}{N} \nu^2 \right)^{N/2} \tilde{h}_k(\nu),$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)
Then, the respective model of the retardation function $\mathcal{R}(t)$, is described by:

$$
J_{k}(t) = J_{0} + \int_{0}^{t} L_{k}(\nu) \left( \frac{1}{\nu} e^{-\nu t} \right) d\nu + \sum_{i=1}^{k} g_{i} \Phi_{i}(t),
$$

(7)

where the functions:

$$
\Phi_{k}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} L_{k}(\nu) \left( \frac{1}{\nu} e^{-\nu t} \right) d\nu,
$$

(8)

and $g_{i}$ are constants. The form of the basis functions $\Phi(t)$ (8) for the retardation function model (7) is given by the following theorem; the proof is given in Appendix A.

**Theorem 1.** Let $\alpha > 0$ and $t \geq 0$. Then the basis functions $\Phi(t)$ (8) are given by the recursive formula:

$$
\Phi_{k}(t) = 2\Phi_{k+1}(t) + 4\Phi_{k+2}(2\alpha t) - 4\Phi_{k+2}(t + 2\alpha t) + \Phi_{k}(t), \quad k = 3, 4, \ldots,
$$

(9)

starting with:

$$
\Phi_{0}(t) = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \frac{\mathcal{F}(1) \mathcal{F}(\frac{t}{\alpha} + 1)}{\mathcal{F}(\frac{t}{\alpha} + 1)},
$$

(10)

$$
\Phi_{1}(t) = \frac{1}{2 \alpha} \frac{\mathcal{F}(\frac{t}{\alpha} + 1)}{\mathcal{F}(\frac{t}{\alpha} + 2)} [e^{-t} - 1],
$$

(11)

$$
\Phi_{2}(t) = \frac{1}{2\alpha^2} \frac{\mathcal{F}(\frac{t}{\alpha} + 1)}{\mathcal{F}(\frac{t}{\alpha} + 3)} \left[ e^{-2\alpha t} - 2\alpha e^{-t} + \alpha e^{-t} \right].
$$

(12)

A few first basis functions $\Phi_{k}(t)$ (8) are shown in Figure 1 for two different values of the time-scaling factor $\alpha$. It is evident that they are in good agreement with the retardation functions obtained in experiment.

![Fig. 1. Basic functions $\Phi(t)$ of Chebyshev retardation spectrum identification algorithm, the parameters $\alpha = 1.5$ and $\alpha = 15$, $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$](image)

Suppose, a certain identification experiment (retardation test [Rao 1999]) performed resulted in a set of measurements of the retardation function $\mathcal{R}(t) = \mathcal{J}(t) + \varepsilon(t)$ at the sampling instants
$t \geq 0, \mathbf{r} = 1, \ldots, N$. As a measure of the model (7) accuracy the classical square index is taken [Bubnicki 1980], which introducing the convenient matrix-vector notation:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\varphi_1(t_1) & \ldots & \varphi_1(t_N) & 1 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\varphi_N(t_1) & \ldots & \varphi_N(t_N) & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{J}(t_1) \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{J}(t_N)
\end{bmatrix}$$

we could write as:

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{J}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ J_i \mathbf{J}(t_i) \right] \left[ J_i \mathbf{J}(t_i) \right]^T \| \mathbf{J} - \Omega_{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{J} \|_F,$$

where $\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{r}} = [g_{\mathbf{r},0}, \ldots, g_{\mathbf{r},d}]$ is $(K+1)$-element vector of the model parameters. Thus the optimal identification of retardation spectrum in the class of functions $L_2(\mathbf{r})$ given by (6) consists of solving, with respect to the model parameter $g_{\mathbf{r}}$, the classical least-squares problem [Lawson and Hanson 1995]. This problem, analogously to the previous optimal identification of the relaxation spectrum task, could be solved by applying the scheme proposed in the first part of the paper, replacing the matrix $\Phi_{\mathbf{r}}$ by $\Omega_{\mathbf{r}}$ and substituting the creep compliance measurements vector $\mathbf{J}$ instead of $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{r}}$.

**ANALYSIS**

**Smoothness**

By orthonormality of the basic Chebyshev functions $(\varphi_i(v))$ in the space $L_2(0,\infty)$ [Szabatin 1982], for an arbitrary $H_2(v)$ of the form (3) the following equality holds:

$$\| H_2 \|_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{K+1} \sum_{j=1}^{K+1} g_{r,i} g_{r,j} \int_0^1 \varphi_i(v) \varphi_j(v) dv = \sum_{i=1}^{K+1} g_{r,i}^2 \| \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{r}} \|_2^2.$$

Here $\| \cdot \|_F$ means also the square norm in $L_2(0,\infty)$. Therefore, due to the basic Chebyshev functions orthonormality the smoothness of the regularized solution $\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{r}}$ (12) guarantees that the fluctuations of the respective retardation spectrum $H_2(\omega)$ (12)7) are bounded.

**Stabilization**

The purpose of the regularization [Tikhonov and Arsenin 1977] relies on stabilization of the resulting vector $\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{r}}$ (12). The effectiveness of this approach can be evaluated by the following relations, which follow immediately from Proposition 2.2 in [Stankiewicz 2007].

**Proposition 1.** Let $K \geq 1$, $r = \text{rank}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{r}})$ and regularization parameter $\lambda > 0$. For the regularized solution $\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{r}}$ (12) the following equality and inequality hold:

$$\| \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{r}} \|_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\gamma_i^2}{(\sigma_i + \lambda)} < \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\gamma_i^2}{\sigma_i} \| \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{r}} \|_F^2,$$

where $\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{r}}$ is the normal solution of the linear-quadratic problem (11)-(12), $\gamma$ are the elements of the vector $\mathbf{Y} \mathbf{V}^2 \Phi_{\mathbf{r}} \Phi_{\mathbf{r}}^T \Phi_{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{V}^2$ and $\sigma$ are non-zero singular values of the matrix $\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{V}^2 \Phi_{\mathbf{r}} \Phi_{\mathbf{r}}^T \Phi_{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{V}^2$ (for details see the first part of the paper).
The first equality in (14) illustrates the mechanism of stabilization. The following rule holds: the greater the regularization parameter \( \lambda \), i.e., the fluctuations of the vector \( \phi^x \) are highly bounded. Thus, the regularization parameter controls the "smoothness" of the regularized solution.

**Measurement noises**

The influence of the measurement noises on the regularized vector \( \phi^x \) (1.24) is discussed in detail in the Appendix C.4 in [Staniewicz 2007]. Suppose:

\[
\phi^x = \phi \big[ G_0 \big]^T
\]

where \( G_0 = [G(1) \ldots G(k)]^T \) is the noise-free measurement data, be the regularized vector for data \( G_0 \). On the basis of Property C.4 in [Staniewicz 2007] the next proposition is stated.

**Proposition 2** Let \( K \geq 1, r = \text{rank}(G_{xx}) \) and \( \lambda > 0 \). For \( \phi^x \) the following estimations hold:

\[
\| \phi^x \phi^x \| \leq \max_{i} \frac{\sigma_i}{(r_i + \lambda)} \| x_0 \| \leq \frac{\sigma_i}{(r_i + \lambda)} \| x_0 \|
\]

where \( \phi \big[ x_0(1) \ldots x_0(k) \big] \) is the disturbance vector, thus the regularized vector \( \phi^x \) tends to regularized solution for noise-free measurements linearly with respect to the noises \( x_0 \) as \( \| x_0 \| \to 0 \).

**Convergence**

Let us estimate the regularized vector \( \phi^x \) error, i.e., the norm \( \| \phi^x - \phi^x \| \), where \( \phi^x \) is the normal solution of the least-squares task (1.17) for noise-free data \( C_0 \). Relaxation spectrum \( \hat{R}_x(v) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi^x_i \hat{f}_x(v) \) (1.27) is only approximation of that spectrum, which can be obtained in the chosen class of models (1.8) by direct minimization (without regularization) of the quadratic quality index (1.17) for noise-free measurements, i.e., the approximation of the function \( L^x(v) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi^x_i \hat{f}_x(v) \), where \( \phi^x_i \) are the elements of the vector \( \phi^x \). The accuracy of the spectrum approximation depends both on the measurement noises and the regularization parameter \( \lambda \) as well as on the singular values of the matrix \( G_{xx} \), which, in turn, depend on the proper selection of the time-scale factor \( \alpha \) of the Chebyshev functions \( \hat{f}_x(v) \). The above is evident by the next proposition which can be easily derived from Property 2.5 in [Staniewicz 2007] using the equality in (13).

**Proposition 3** Let \( K \geq 1, r = \text{rank}(G_{xx}) \) and \( \lambda > 0 \). The following equality and inequality hold:

\[
\| \phi^x \phi^x \| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\| x_0 \| \hat{f}_x(v_i)}{\sigma_i (r_i + \lambda)} = \frac{1}{\sigma_r} \| x_0 \|
\]

therefore, the regularized vector \( \phi^x \) converges to the normal solution \( \phi^x \) and the relaxation spectrum \( \hat{R}_x(v) \) tends to the "normal" spectrum \( \hat{R}_x(v) \) in the same point \( v \) as they are both continuous, linearly with respect to the norm \( \| x_0 \| \), as \( \lambda \to 0 \) and \( \| x_0 \| \to 0 \), simultaneously.

**Final Remark**

The inequality (16) yields that the accuracy of the spectrum approximation depends both on the measurement noises and the regularization parameter as well as on the singular values \( \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r \) of the matrix \( G_{xx} \) (1.18), which, in turn, depend on the proper selection of the basis Chebyshev functions.
APPENDIX A

Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose $a > 0$. It is easy to observe that the integral $q_k(t)$ (3) can be expressed as a difference of two integrals:

$$q_k(t) = \int_0^t h_k(v) dv - \int_0^t \int_1^t h_k(v) e^{-v \alpha} dv \varphi_k(t) \varphi_k(\ell),$$

(A.1)

where the function $\varphi_k(t)$ is defined by the eq. (1.10). Whence, for $k = 0$ we have:

$$q_0(t) = \varphi_0(t) \varphi_0(\ell) \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{4})}{\sqrt{2 \pi \alpha \varphi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{4})}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{3}{4})}{\sqrt{2 \pi \alpha \varphi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{3}{4})}}$$

Since $\Gamma(1) = 1$, and on the basis of known Euler's gamma function identity $\Gamma(z) \Gamma(z+1) = 2^{1-z} \Gamma(2z)$ [Letniakiewicz 1957] we have:

$$\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{4}) = 2^{1/2} \Gamma(2) \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{3}{4})}}$$

(A.2)

because $\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \sqrt{\pi} = \Gamma(1)$. Likewise for $k = 1$, combining the equations (A.1), (I.13), (A.2) and the fact that $\Gamma(2) = 1$ we obtain eq. (1.11). To prove (12) for $k = 2$ it is enough to substitute (I.14) into (A.1) taking into account (A.2) and $\Gamma(3) = 1$.

We next show that (9) holds for any $k \geq 3$. Using the identity (I.2.2) and the defining formula (3) we now have:

$$q_k(t) = 2 \int_0^t h_k(v) \{1 - e^{-v \alpha}\} dv - \int_0^t e^{-v \alpha} h_k(v) \{1 - e^{-v \alpha}\} dv$$

and hence, taking into account again the definition (3), we obtain:

$$q_k(t) = 2q_k(t) - \int_0^t \int_1^t h_k(v) e^{-v \alpha} dv \varphi_k(t) \varphi_k(\ell)$$

Hence by virtue of the basic functions $\varphi_k(t)$ definition (1.10) we have:

$$q_k(t) = 2q_k(t) - \varphi_k(t) \varphi_k(\ell) + 2q_k(t) \varphi_k(t)$$

and since noting that by virtue of (A.1) $\varphi_k(t) = \varphi_k(0) - \varphi_k(\ell)$ we conclude that the eq. (9) is valid. The proof is now complete.
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IDENTYFIKACJA SPEKTRO RELAUKS CJI I RETARDACJI LEPKOSPRZĘŻYSTYCH MATERIAŁÓW ROŚLINNYCH Z WYKORZYSTANIEM FUNKCJI CZĘŚYSEWA CZĘŚĆ II. ANALIZA

Streszczenie. W pierwszej części pracy zaproponowano algorytm optymalnej identyfikacji spektrum relaksacji na podstawie dystrybucji pomiarów modułu relaksacji zgromadzonych w dziejowej czaś w teście relaksacji naprężeń. Schemat bazuje na aproksymacji spektrum częstotliwości relaksacji skończoną sumą ortogonalnych funkcji Częsysewa. opracowaną w serii najmniejszej normy koródy. Pomiarami problem identyfikacji spektrom relaksacji jest dla postępowania problemem odcumowym dla zapewnienia stabilności rozwiązania zasobowe regulacji luchnowe i uzgodnioną metode sprawdzania krzywego (GCV). W tej części pracy przesłalani są doświadczalne doświadczenia dla różnych pomiarów modułu relaksacji oraz zastosowano lekkośpędziste modele do modułu jako zmysłowych dla pomiarów złożonych. Pokazano także, że dość dość dość przybliżenia spektrom relaksacji zależy zarówno od błędów pomiarowych oraz parametru regulacji, jak również od odpowiedniego doboru podstawy dla funkcji bazowych. W pracy zaproponowano także algorytm optymalizacji dla podstawy identyfikacji spektrom relaksacji. Dalszą numerację są przedmiotem trzecicej części pracy, w której przedstawiono także obok przykładu symulacyjnego przykład jej zastosowania do wyznaczenia spektrom relaksacji rzeczywistego materiału pochodzenia roślinnego.

Słowa kluczowe: lekkośpędzistość, spektrom relaksacji, spektrom retardańe, identyfikacja, regulacja luchnowa, funkcja Częsysewa.